

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/020,951 12/19/2001		12/19/2001	Masatoshi Fukuda	011724	8648	
38834	7590	09/16/2004		EXAMINER		
		TTORI, DANIE FAVENUE, NW	LANDAU, MATTHEW C			
SUITE 700	ECTICO	I AVENUE, INW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20036	2815			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/020,951		FUKUDA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Matthew La		2815				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the proof of the play of the play is a second of	pears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event ly within the statuto will apply and will o e, cause the applic	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	luly 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-20</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-10</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction and/o	wn from cons						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected.	cepted or b) drawing(s) be stion is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	` '			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been ts have been prity documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen			_					
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	,	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Po Other:	te	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 13-20 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on August 27, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubo et al. (JP 10-050949, hereinafter Kubo) in view of Nam et al. (US Pat. 6,380,579 B1, hereinafter Nam).

Figure 1 of Kubo discloses a capacitor formed above a semiconductor substrate 1 and including a straight cylindrical-shaped storage electrode 32(SN) having a hollow cylindrical projection, an edge of the hollow cylindrical projection being located on an uppermost part of the straight cylindrical-shaped storage electrode, a capacitor dielectric film 33 formed on the storage electrode, and a plate electrode 34 formed on the capacitor dielectric film, and the edge of the hollow cylindrical projection being rounded. Note that Applicant's statement of relevance found in the IDS filed May 1, 2002 states that "A part of the cylindrical shaped storage electrode SN is rounded". The difference between Kubo and the claimed invention is the storage electrode being formed of a metal film. Figure 4 of Ham discloses a capacitor with a storage electrode (33a,29a)

formed of platinum (column 6, lines 53-55). In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Kubo by forming the storage electrode from a metal film. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Kubo in the manner described above for the purpose using a conductive material that is resistant to oxidation.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nam in view of Ogawa.

Figure 4 of Nam discloses a capacitor formed above a semiconductor substrate 21 and including a straight cylindrical-shaped storage electrode 29a/33a having a hollow cylindrical projection (col. 6, lines 58-65), an edge of the hollow cylindrical projection being located on an uppermost part of the straight cylindrical-shaped storage electrode, a capacitor dielectric film 37 formed on the storage electrode, and a plate electrode 39 formed on the capacitor dielectric film, the straight cylindrical-shaped storage electrode being formed of a metal film (col. 6, lines 49-55). The difference between Nam and the claimed invention is the edge of the hollow cylindrical projection being rounded. Figures 21 and 22 of Ogawa disclose a straight cylindrical shaped storage electrode 41b with a rounded upper edge. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Nam by having the edge of the hollow cylindrical projection being rounded. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Nam in the manner described above for the purpose of suppressing the electric field concentration at the edge (see abstract of Ogawa).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are allowed.

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In regards to claims 1 and 9, the prior art of record, either singularly or in combination, does not disclose or suggest the combination of limitations including the edge of the hollow cylindrical projection being rounded and having a larger thickness than a thickness in a remaining portion of the hollow cylindrical projection.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In regards to claim 12, the prior art of record, either singularly or in combination, does not disclose or suggest the combination of limitations including the straight cylindrical-shaped storage electrode has a thickness gradually thickened toward the edge of the hollow cylindrical projection.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew C. Landau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1731.

The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Matthew C. Landau

Examiner

September 10, 2004

TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800